# APPENDIX C

	Issue Identified	Recommendation	Category	Action / Audit Response	Implementa tion Date
7	Annual Report	In alignment with recommendations	Enhance	The 2018/19 audit plan has	July 2018
•	The CAE produces an Annual Audit report which	made earlier, the internal audit plan		incorporated the External Quality	,
	summarises the years work and includes analysis of	should be constructed to provide an		Assessors recommendation.	
	performance. The opinion reflects;	explicit link to risk, governance and			
	······	control and reflecting the other		The audit plan for review and approval	
	2015/16	assurances available so that the CAE		includes a number of different audit	
	"Taking into account the issues identified in the audits	is able to provide wider assurance to		techniques that will be used to gain	
	included in the table above and listed in Appendix B, the	the Authority in support of the		assurance. One of which is 'Assurance	
	issues identified during the investigation and referred to	governance statement and in the		Mapping' which will consist of using	
	above, and reviews by other assurance providers, it is	terms specified within the PSIAS.		reviews that may have already been	
	only possible to provide qualified assurance regarding	Best practice is that the Annual		undertaken by other assurance	
	the systems of internal control operated by the Council.	Report should also contain reference		providers and placing reliance on	
	It should be noted that significant control issues were	to <b>all</b> significant (residual) risks and		those where possible.	
	identified only in a small number of the assignments	therefore co-ordination with and an			
	completed, however these issues related to key	understanding of issues being raised		There may be occasions where some	
	systems."	by the range of assurance sources		audit work may need to be	
		available is essential in order to		undertaken to fill in the gaps	Complete
	2016/17	meet this broader scope.		identified. Overall this should reduce	
	"Taking into account the issues identified in the audits	This links to the recommendations		the time and resource needed in some	
	included in the table above and listed in Appendix B, and	earlier regarding the need to		areas to gain the assurance needed to	
	where appropriate reviews by other assurance providers,	progress the identification of those		provide an annual audit opinion.	
	the governance arrangements were generally found to	assurances which are available –			
	be sound and fit for purpose. However the wider risk	where such assurance is considered		The 2017/18 annual report will	
	exposure linked to some of the significant issues	to be significant (as in the case of		incorporate the EQA's	
	reported result in it only being possible to give a	PSN) then it would be beneficial to		recommendation and expand further	
	qualified assurance".	specify the source.		on how assurance has been gained.	
		,			
	The form required by the PSIAS requires a wider				
	statement which must also include <i>"significant risk</i>				
	exposures and control issues, including fraud risks,				
	governance issues, and other matters needed or				
	requested by senior management and the board'"				

	Issue Identified	Recommendation	Category	Action / Audit Response	Implementa tion Date
1	<b>Evidence of supervision</b> The current process of supervision provides for a documented record of the file review to be maintained although the process of supervision through engagement within the office throughout the assignment is not recorded.	The auditor undertaking each assignment should record on Pentana, within the file review, a summary of discussions held during the assignment which reflect effective input of the named supervisor (or others).	Review	The Internal Audit Management System allows for 'points' to be raised during and at the end of each audit as part of the supervisory and quality review undertaken at the end of each audit. It is appreciated that not all discussions are recorded during the review process.	April 2018
				Auditors will be working more independently in future with additional responsibilities; therefore monthly progress meetings will be required between the Audit Manager and Auditor. These discussions will be recorded and used as evidence of supervision.	Complete
2	Audit of Ethics and Culture Particular attention is given within the standards to the audit of these areas which are at present considered as part of any review relating to the CIPFA SOLACE guidance.	It would be beneficial to identify these areas as specific assignments in future within internal audit plans in order to demonstrate full conformity with the standards.	Review	Ethics and cultural review have always been included within the annual Corporate & Ethical Governance review which is based on the CIPFA SOLACE guidance. For the 2018/19 plan it is believed	December 2018
				that further exploration of ethics and culture will be included within the scope of the Corporate and Ethical Governance audit and if serious risks and issues are identified then individual reviews can be set up using contingency days or included within the following years audit plan.	Complete

	Issue Identified	Recommendation	Category	Action / Audit Response	Implementa
					tion Date
3	Internal Audit Planning	Future internal audit planning should	Review	The Internal Audit Plan has always	September
		become increasingly based upon the		incorporated the Councils Corporate	2018
	The IAS has moved significantly towards the use of risk	Council's risk management system in	$\smile$	Risk Registers within the audit	
	based planning although the process is driven by the	which the full recognition of key		planning process; however it is	
	format of the Pentana system, the CAE has introduced a	mitigating controls and assurances		recognised that the Internal Audit (IA)	
	revised basis for maintaining the spreadsheet used to	that these are effectively deployed		team do have their own records of	
	compile the Internal Audit Risk Assessment model from	would represent an essential basis		business risks as they sit more at a	
	2017/18.	for the focus and conduct of internal		departmental level. IA must also	
		audit work		review internal control arrangements	
	Nevertheless, greater alignment with Council risk			rather than just the mitigation of risk.	
	management systems would be beneficial rather than				
	internal audit maintain a separate view of risk.			IA is currently working with the Fraud	
				and Risk Manager to find a way of	In Progress
				aligning the Risk Management	
				Framework with Internal Audits	
				approach to risk. We are going to start	
				by meeting with Senior Management	
				and identifying what the organisations	
				risk tolerance level is which will	
				provide a base for building a	
				consistent approach to risk across the	
				authority.	

	Issue Identified	Recommendation	Category	Action / Audit Response	Implementa tion Date
4	Audit Opinions - Recommendations These are currently developed and assessed by each internal auditor, and reviewed by the Supervisor/Audit Manager prior to release of the draft report and which include a grading of the recommendations It is recommended that the classification should align with the risk definitions contained within the Council Risk Management strategy and that a specific date is agreed with client management regarding by which the action should be completed. In this way, communication with auditees may be enhanced through ensuring that a common risk theme is used throughout the Council's operations including with Members.	Risk definitions used by internal audit should be developed to reflect the risk appetite within the organisation, and the definitions of impact and likelihood used by the Council. It is recognised best practice to use terminology such as High, Medium and Low or Fundamental, Significant and Merits attention and perhaps support this with RAG rated colours linked to the Council's risk management system using the impact definitions that have been identified. These should be used by each internal auditor to grade the recommendation and discuss the level of risk to which the organisation is exposed with each auditee at the exit meeting.	Review	The joint approach to risk management as indicated above will provide a basis for IA to assess impact and likelihood in a consistent manner with risk management. A new report template has also been developed using a more recognised terminology with RAG colours. Although IA have always used a RAG status approach with recommendations and issues raised it is appreciated that risk management terminology and IA terminology does not currently align.	September 2018 In Progress

	Issue Identified	Recommendation	Category	Action / Audit Response	Implementa
					tion Date
5	Audit Opinions - Overall opinions	The grading of reports should be	Review	Internal Audit currently uses four	September
		based upon the level of risk		categories (Substantial Assurance,	2018
	These are currently based upon the personal judgement	exposure identified within the	<b>—</b>	Adequate Assurance, Improvement	
	of each auditor, within the definitions specified as	review and reflect the highest		Required and Significant Improvement	
	relating and subject to review by the supervisor and CIA	ranked recommendation being		Required) when providing an overall	
	of the draft report prior to release.	reported upon and therefore aligned		opinion to each audit with a clear	
		with the risk appetite of the Council		definition of each assurance category	
	The overall opinion is based on the risks/weaknesses			and how it is reported within all Draft	
	identified although not in alignment with the level of	Best practice would reflect:		and Final Audit Reports.	
	risk identified.	- Where a fundamental risk (red) is			
		identified that limited assurance is		However, it is accepted that there is a	
	The basis for grading of recommendations should	given.		difference between the definitions of	
	normally as a result influence the overall opinion for	- Where significant risks (amber) are		assurance categories within Risk	
	each audit directly, for example if a risk falling into a	identified then adequate assurance		Management and the definitions used	
	definition of the highest category is identified (potential	is given, and		by Internal Audit. This will be	Complete
	for fatality, loss greater than £1m or severe reputational	<ul> <li>Where 'merits attention' (yellow)</li> </ul>		approached when aligning risk	complete
	damage) then the assurance level given is reduced. Any	risks are identified these are not		management and internal audit	
	risk of this nature should automatically trigger a	referred to in the report and		processes.	
	negative audit opinion of 'limited assurance'.	substantial assurance is given.			$\mathbf{V}$
				IA has not received any negative	
	Wider best practice provides for three levels of			feedback to date from Auditees	
	assurance opinion being substantial, adequate or			regarding the current overall	
	limited as this provides a clearer indication to			assurance categories. Following	
	stakeholders of the level of assurance that can be			review with Senior Management it is	
	gained. This opinion can then be aligned directly with			felt that the current assurance	
	the nature of the risks being identified and the grading			categories better reflect how we work	
	of those recommendations being made. The use of the			locally, therefore we are not	
	grading 'significant Improvement Required' is not used			proposing to change them in the near	
	by the internal audit service.			future.	

	Issue Identified	Recommendation	Category	Action / Audit Response	Implementa
-			- · ·		tion Date
6	Follow up of recommendations The Internal Audit Service currently uses email as the mechanism through which action taken against those recommendations made is monitored. The service currently relies on assurance/evidence from client managers that actions have been taken and follows this	It is recommended that action in relation to those recommendations of a 'high' risk nature are evidenced by internal audit when due in order to provide appropriate assurance that the risk has been mitigated in a timely manner.	Review	Audit findings are followed up monthly by the Acting Audit Manager via email. However, outstanding actions will not be signed off as implemented until evidence has been provided by the auditee.	April 2018
	up at next audit.			This can be a resource intensive administration process, therefore the new apprentice will be tasked with chasing responses and collecting evidence of implementation with oversight from the Audit Manager to ensure that the evidence provided is adequate.	Complete